# **Key Communications, LLC**

27599 Riverview Center Blvd Suite 201 Bonita Springs, FL 34134

27 April 06

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Marlene H. Dortch
Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 - 12th Street, SW
Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: CC Docket No. 96-45 Interstate Access Support - IAS Annual Certification Filing

This is to certify that Key Communications, LLC will use its INTERSTATE ACCESS SUPPORT - IAS only for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended. I am authorized to make this certification on behalf of the company named above. This certification is for the study area listed below –

Key Communications, LLC West Virginia 209010 - Study Area Code

Sincerely,

Tyler W. Mahler

Tyler W. Mahler
Director of Finance and Accounting
Key Communications, LLC

(239) 335-1348 (239) 335-1339 RECEIVED & INSPECTED

MAY **2** 2006

FCC - MAILROOM

No. of Cooles rec'd 0

# **Keystone Wireless, LLC**

27599 Riverview Center Blvd Suite 201 Bonita Springs, FL 34134

27 April 06

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

MAY 2 2006

FCC - MAILROOM

Marlene H. Dortch Office of the Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 - 12th Street, SW Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: CC Docket No. 96-45 Interstate Access Support - IAS Annual Certification Filing

This is to certify that Keystone Wireless, LLC will use its INTERSTATE ACCESS SUPPORT - IAS only for the provision, maintenance and upgrading of facilities and services for which the support is intended. I am authorized to make this certification on behalf of the company named above. This certification is for the study area listed below –

Keystone Wireless, LLC Pennsylvania 179007 - Study Area Code

Sincerely,



Tyler W. Mahler Director of Finance and Accounting Keystone Wireless, LLC

(239) 335-1348 (239) 335-1339

RECEIVED & INSPECTED

MAY - 2 2006

FCC - MAIL ROOM TEVE HOLMGREN

DOURS ... Sur Comminal

563 Jopling Ave. Ishpeming, MI 49849

April 27, 2006

**FCC** 

Chairman Kevin J Martin,

CC. 96-45

445 12th St SW, Washington, DC, 20554.

As someone who is concerned about increased taxes and telephone fees, I oppose Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Chairman Kevin J. Martin's plans to change the way monies are collected for the Universal Service Fund.

Chairman Martin is proposing a change in the Universal Service Fund (USF) collection methodology from a "pay-for-what-you-use" system to a "monthly flat-fee." The flat-fee system would result in forced phone bill hikes for me -- and for millions of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S. Shifting the funding burden of the USF away from high volume users -- like big businesses -- and placing the weight on low-volume users -- students, prepaid wireless users, senior citizens and low-income residential and rural consumers-- is unfair. I urge Chairman Martin to rethink his flat-fee plan. It is a de-facto tax increase of as much as \$707 million for 43 million of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S.

Please pass along my concerns to the FCC on my behalf, letting them know that your constituents have contacted you to oppose a USF numbers or flat-fee plan. Thank you for your continued work. I look forward to hearing about your position on this matter.

Sincerely,

Steve Holmgren

List ABCDE rec'a Q43



April 27, 2006

563 Jopling Ave. Ishpeming, MI 49849

**FCC** 

Chairman Kevin J Martin,

CC: 96-45

445 12th St SW, Washington, DC, 20554.

As someone who is concerned about increased taxes and telephone fees, I oppose Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Chairman Kevin J. Martin's plans to change the way monies are collected for the Universal Service Fund.

Chairman Martin is proposing a change in the Universal Service Fund (USF) collection methodology from a "pay-for-what-you-use" system to a "monthly flat-fee." The flat-fee system would result in forced phone bill hikes for me — and for millions of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S. Shifting the funding burden of the USF away from high volume users — like big businesses — and placing the weight on low-volume users — students, prepaid wireless users, senior citizens and low-income residential and rural consumers— is unfair. I urge Chairman Martin to rethink his flat-fee plan. It is a de-facto tax increase of as much as \$707 million for 43 million of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S.

Please pass along my concerns to the FCC on my behalf, letting them know that your constituents have contacted you to oppose a USF numbers or flat-fee plan. Thank you for your continued work. I look forward to hearing about your position on this matter.

Sincerely,

Steve Holmgren

DOC.

MAY - 2 2006

FCC MAILROOM

### Stacy Smith

703 Lakeview Drive, Sugar Land, Texas 77478-0000

DOCKET FILE COLL UNIGINAL

April 23, 2006 09:42 AM

Senator John Cornyn U.S. Senate 517 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

### Dear Senator Cornyn:

As someone who is concerned about increased taxes and telephone fees, I oppose Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Chairman Kevin J. Martin's plans to change the way monies are collected for the Universal Service Fund.

Chairman Martin is proposing a change in the Universal Service Fund (USF) collection methodology from a "pay-for-what-you-use" system to a "monthly flat-fee." The flat-fee system would result in forced phone bill hikes for me -- and for millions of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S. Shifting the funding burden of the USF away from high volume users -- like big businesses -- and placing the weight on low-volume users -- students, prepaid wireless users, senior citizens and low-income residential and rural consumers-- is unfair. I urge Chairman Martin to rethink his flat-fee plan. It is a de-facto tax increase of as much as \$707 million for 43 million of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S.

Please pass along my concerns to the FCC on my behalf, letting them know that your constituents have contacted you to oppose a USF numbers or flat-fee plan. Thank you for your continued work. I look forward to hearing about your position on this matter.

cc:

FCC General Email Box

No. of Coolea rectd
List ABCDE

MAY - 2 2006

## **Stacy Smith**

FCC - MAILROOM

703 Lakeview Drive, Sugar Land, Texas 77478-0000

April 23, 2006 09:42 AM

Representative Tom DeLay U.S. House of Representatives 242 Cannon House Office Bldg. Washington, DC 20515-0001

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

#### Dear Representative DeLay:

As someone who is concerned about increased taxes and telephone fees, I oppose Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Chairman Kevin J. Martin's plans to change the way monies are collected for the Universal Service Fund.

Chairman Martin is proposing a change in the Universal Service Fund (USF) collection methodology from a "pay-for-what-you-use" system to a "monthly flat-fee." The flat-fee system would result in forced phone bill hikes for me -- and for millions of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S. Shifting the funding burden of the USF away from high volume users -- like big businesses -- and placing the weight on low-volume users -- students, prepaid wireless users, senior citizens and low-income residential and rural consumers-- is unfair. I urge Chairman Martin to rethink his flat-fee plan. It is a de-facto tax increase of as much as \$707 million for 43 million of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S.

Please pass along my concerns to the FCC on my behalf, letting them know that your constituents have contacted you to oppose a USF numbers or flat-fee plan. Thank you for your continued work. I look forward to hearing about your position on this matter.

cc:

Sineere

FCC General Email Box

No. of Copies recid O

MAY - 2 2006

FCC - MAILROOM

## **Stacy Smith**

703 Lakeview Drive, Sugar Land, Texas 77478-0000

April 23, 2006 09:42 AM

Senator Kay Hutchison U.S. Senate 284 Russell Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

#### Dear Senator Hutchison:

As someone who is concerned about increased taxes and telephone fees, I oppose Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Chairman Kevin J. Martin's plans to change the way monies are collected for the Universal Service Fund.

Chairman Martin is proposing a change in the Universal Service Fund (USF) collection methodology from a "pay-for-what-you-use" system to a "monthly flat-fee." The flat-fee system would result in forced phone bill hikes for me -- and for millions of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S. Shifting the funding burden of the USF away from high volume users -- like big businesses -- and placing the weight on low-volume users -- students, prepaid wireless users, senior citizens and low-income residential and rural consumers-- is unfair. I urge Chairman Martin to rethink his flat-fee plan. It is a de-facto tax increase of as much as \$707 million for 43 million of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S.

Please pass along my concerns to the FCC on my behalf, letting them know that your constituents have contacted you to oppose a USF numbers or flat-fee plan. Thank you for your continued work. I look forward to hearing about your position on this matter.

(~

cc:

FCC General Email Box

No. of Cooles rec'd Q

## Gale Witherell

FCC-MAILROOM

153 1st Rangeway, Waterville, Maine 04901-4614

April 23, 2006 11:23 AM

Senator Olympia Snowe U.S. Senate 154 Russell Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510-0001 DOCKET - SURY ORIGINAL

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

#### Dear Senator Snowe:

As someone who is concerned about increased taxes and telephone fees, I oppose Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Chairman Kevin J. Martin's plans to change the way monies are collected for the Universal Service Fund.

Chairman Martin is proposing a change in the Universal Service Fund (USF) collection methodology from a "pay-for-what-you-use" system to a "monthly flat-fee." The flat-fee system would result in forced phone bill hikes for me -- and for millions of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S. Shifting the funding burden of the USF away from high volume users -- like big businesses -- and placing the weight on low-volume users -- students, prepaid wireless users, senior citizens and low-income residential and rural consumers-- is unfair. I urge Chairman Martin to rethink his flat-fee plan. It is a de-facto tax increase of as much as \$707 million for 43 million of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S.

Please pass along my concerns to the FCC on my behalf, letting them know that your constituents have contacted you to oppose a USF numbers or flat-fee plan. Thank you for your continued work. I look forward to hearing about your position on this matter.

Sincerely,

Gale Witherell

cc:

FCC General Email Box

No. of Cooles rec'd 0 List ABODE RECEIVED & INSPECTED

MAY - 2 2006

FCC - MAILROOM

## DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

### Anna Kinnear

113 Furlong Drive, Universal City, Texas 78148

April 27, 2006

Kevin J. Martin, Chairman Federal Communications Commission 445 Twelfth Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

Dear Sir:

I oppose the FCC's plans to change the way money is collected for the Universal Service Fund by increasing taxes and telephone fees with a monthly "flat fee." This system would result in higher costs to us low-volume users: prepaid wireless/emergency users, senior citizens and low-income consumers and is unfair.

Sincerely,

Anna Kinnear

anna Kinnew

No. of Cobies rec'd O

MAY - 2 2006

FCC - MAILROOM

DOCKET FILE CODY ORIGINAL

Dorothea Wiley

6275 S.E. Charleston Pl B102, Hobe Sound, Florida 33455-7310

April 20, 2006 11:33 PM

Senator Mel Martinez United States Senate 317 Hart Senate Office Building Washington, DC 20510-0001

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC Docket 96-45

#### Dear Senator Martinez:

As someone who is concerned about increased taxes and telephone fees, I oppose Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Chairman Kevin J. Martin's plans to change the way monies are collected for the Universal Service Fund.

Chairman Martin is proposing a change in the Universal Service Fund (USF) collection methodology from a "pay-for-what-you-use" system to a "monthly flat-fee." The flat-fee system would result in forced phone bill hikes for me -- and for millions of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S. Shifting the funding burden of the USF away from high volume users -- like big businesses -- and placing the weight on low-volume users -- students, prepaid wireless users, senior citizens and low-income residential and rural consumers-- is unfair. I urge Chairman Martin to rethink his flat-fee plan. It is a de-facto tax increase of as much as \$707 million for 43 million of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S.

Please pass along my concerns to the FCC on my behalf, letting them know that your constituents have contacted you to oppose a USF numbers or flat-fee plan. Thank you for your continued work. I look forward to hearing about your position on this matter.

Sincerely,
Dorothea Wiley

cc:

FCC General Email Box

No. of Copies rec'd 0 List ABCDE

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

FCC - MAILROOM

David Christensen

1475 Waterfall Way, Fruit Heights, Utah 84037-2771

April 27, 2006 11:23 A.M.

FCC Chairman Kevin J. Martain 445 12th Street S.W. Washington, DC 20554

Subject: Re: Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service CC

Docket 96-45

#### Dear Chairman Martain:

As someone who is concerned about increased taxes and telephone fees, I oppose Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Chairman Kevin J. Martin's plans to change the way monies are collected for the Universal Service Fund.

Chairman Martin you are proposing a change in the Universal Service Fund (USF) collection methodology from a "pay-for-what-you-use" system to a "monthly flat-fee." The flat-fee system would result in forced phone bill hikes for me -- and for millions of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S. Shifting the funding burden of the USF away from high volume users -- like big businesses -- and placing the weight on low-volume users -- students, prepaid wireless users, senior citizens and low-income residential and rural consumers-- is unfair. I urge to rethink his flat-fee plan. It is a de-facto tax increase of as much as \$707 million for 43 million of low-volume, long-distance users in the U.S.

Thank you for your continued work. I look forward to hearing about your position on this matter.

Sincerely,

David Christensen

No. of Cooles rec's O List ABCDE

MAY - 3 2006

FCC - MAILROOM

COPY ORIGINAL



April 20, 2006

To: Irene Flannery

Vice President - High Cost & Low Income Division

**Universal Service Administrative Company** 

2000 L Street, NW, Suite 200

Washington DC 20036

Marlene H. Dortch Office of Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 – 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW Washington DC 20554

Re:

CC Docket No. 96-45

Interstate Common Line Support and Long Term Support - ICLS

**Annual Certification Filing** 

This is to certify that Northern Arkansas Telephone Company, Inc. ("the company"), will use its Interstate Common Line Support and Long Term Support only for the provision, maintenance, and upgrading of facilities and service for which the support is intended.

. Physica

I am authorized to make this certification on behalf of the company named above. This certification is provided for all study areas under the common control of the company, and which are listed below.

Signed,

Steven G. Sanders, President

Date: 4/20/2006

Northern Arkansas Telephone Company, Inc.

PO Box 209

Flippin, AR 72634

870-453-8811

Company Name

State

Study Area No.

Northern Arkansas Telephone Company, Inc.

AR

1713

P.O. Box 209 Flippin, Arkansas 72634 870-453-8811 Fax: 870-453-2249

www.natconet.com www.natcotech.com www.southshore.com No. of Coples recid
List ABODE

•

The same of the same